

JOHNSON VILLAGE TRUSTEE BOARD MEETING MINUTES
JOHNSON MUNICIPAL BUILDING
MONDAY, MARCH 9, 2026

Present:

Trustees: Will Jennison, Ellis O’Hear, BJ Putvain, Ken Tourangeau, Darrell Wescom

Others: Erik Bailey, Rosemary Audibert, Mike Mignone, Alec Jones, Andy Hoak, Seth Jensen, Jacqui LeBlanc, Madison Carver

Note: All votes taken are unanimous unless otherwise noted.

1. Call to Order

BJ called the meeting to order at 6:01.

2. The Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge was recited.

3. Review of Agenda and Any Adjustments, Changes and Additions

The board added items related to social media, congressionally directed spending and hometown hero banners.

4. Review and Approve Minutes of Trustee Meetings

Will moved to approve the minutes of February 9 and February 25, 2026, Darrell seconded and the motion was passed.

5. Members of the Public

Mike Mignone, owner of Johnson General Store, said he is trying to liquidate assets to pay for work on the parking lot and roof. He would like to sell his food truck, which is collateral for his loan from the village, and would like to either seek different collateral or possibly pay off the loan with proceeds from the sale.

Will asked how much the loan was for. Rosemary said \$50K. Mike said he probably still owes about \$40K.

Ken asked how much he expects to get for the food truck. Mike said he will probably sell it bare bones because he took some equipment out of it to use in the store. He probably will not sell it for less than \$34K. He would probably make up the difference with other funds to satisfy the loan and then seek another loan elsewhere.

Will said Mike had also mentioned alternative collateral. Mike said he is not sure what that would look like. Now that he has purchased the store he has equity in it. There is also new equipment he bought for the store. He is not sure of the value of those things.

Will said because the truck is collateral for the loan, if it is sold the debt would have to be paid in full.

Ken said he thinks we would have difficulty putting a lien on the property when Mike has a mortgage. Will said it would be a second mortgage. We would get paid second.

Mike said two months ago the store was valued at \$1.3 million. The lot was valued at \$550K. There is quite a bit of equity in the building.

Will said he feels that if the truck is sold Mike should pay off the loan and if he then wants to seek additional funding using different collateral that should be a separate action. Rosemary said we have the title to the truck, so he would have to pay off the loan to get the title.

Will said he would lean towards a second mortgage more than a lien. It holds more weight. But he would want to see info on the valuation and current debt.

Mike said he is going to try to seek grant funding for the parking lot work. The roof can wait a little.

The board agreed that Mike can sell the truck. (*Mike left at 6:13.*)

6. Treasurer's Report: Bills and Warrants / Budget Status Report / Other Action Items

Rosemary handed out final figures for last year, as well as savings account balances as of today.

Ken said he believes the board had discussed borrowing from the revolving loan fund if we buy a new truck. Rosemary asked if the board wants to charge interest. Ken said very low interest. Will suggested no or low interest. Rosemary asked if the board wanted to charge 1-2%. Will said he thought 1% would be reasonable. Ken agreed. Rosemary asked if there is a cost estimate for the truck. Erik said it will be about \$40K.

7. Village Manager's Report and Any Action Items

Erik read his report:

Water & Light Garage Project Update:

The new Water & Light garage looks awesome. Currently they are framing up the interior rooms. The build is progressing swiftly.

DR4720 FEMA WWTF Project Update:

Once again, we are in a holding pattern, waiting on FEMA to come back to work once Congress can agree on a budget.

The Gihon has a low profile (not stacked or showing large chunks) ice jam from Pearl ST to Jesus Beach. It does not appear that it will turn into an issue, however out of an abundance of caution, I'm having the wastewater crew put the flood gates in before they leave for the day.

We have bids for the utility truck replacement. In your packet are 3 quotes: State Bid prices from Key Chevy & Goss Dodge, and a very aggressively priced quote from LV Chevy.

While the LV Chevy quote is *slightly* higher than the other 2, the Key Chevy quote has some vagueness & we got it at 4pm today, and the salesman left for the day right after he sent it, making it impossible to clarify. And the Goss quote doesn't commit to a \$25K trade-in.

Add to that the advantages of buying and servicing locally, and I suggest the board approve the purchase of the truck from the Lamoille Valley Chevy quote sent on March 5, 2026 at 2:40PM.

Erik said that although he recommended approving a purchase in his report, he now thinks we need to look further at the quote from Key Chevy, because Nate believes it includes the

controllers and head unit for the plow, which would make it much cheaper than the LV Chevy quote.

Darrell asked if these quotes are for trucks with bodies. Erik said the quotes include the price of the utility body. Darrell said Dodge has a longer warranty and suggested that would be something to consider. Ken said the last two trucks Nate has had have not had any warranty work. (*Andy Hoak arrived at 6:28.*) BJ suggested finding out if the dealers will pick up and drop off the truck when it needs work.

8. *Water/Wastewater Report and Any Action Items*

Erik read the report submitted by Dan Copp:

1. Operational Status of Wastewater Plant and Callouts

- E-DMR's and Wr-43's were submitted to the State
- We hauled 60 thousand gallons of sludge to Morrisville for processing in January
- Monthly Composite testing completed
- Manosh completed scheduled Grit channel cleaning
- Tim and Luke found problem with river road lift station check valve. Causing excessive running time, we lubricated and exercised both check valves, seeming to cure the problem
- We have kept a close eye on the syphon with weekly checks after the time we had with rags, all seems good at this point.
- Flood floats were tested and checked anticipating warmer spring weather, flood gates are ready and everything else is in place to prepare should we see rising water this spring.
- Tim and Luke have been taking Continuing Ed classes in these slower months required for ongoing water and wastewater credits.

2. Operational status of Water Plant and Callouts

- Monthly reports were submitted to the state.
- Coliform samples were negative
- Add a gallon of coolant to the water plant generator.
- With the Suggestion from Erik Tim worked on getting quotes on propane and the new tank install for the generator at the water plant. I was able to get quotes from Bourne Energy and Freds Propane. On site propane was also contacted and they declined to give a quote stating we were a bigger project and customer than they could handle properly. The info has been passed along to Erik.
- Water loss couldn't be calculated.
- Rotated pumps in the Katy Winn Meter house # 1, while there found the water meter for Ship Sevin (on their personal equipment) was flowing full pipe, it was indicated maybe they had a leak in the 'garage' that belongs to them we shut the water feed to the meter off and notified the office to reach out to them

9. *Electric/General Report and Any Action Items*

Erik read the report submitted by Nate Brigham:

Electric Dept. –

Installed DCU at Johnson Municipal Building

Power outage at 369 Railroad St. blown fuse. 5 customers out for 15 minutes

Removed cover-up at 665 Railroad St.

Working on bills for disconnecting services of the buyout properties

Disco/reco at 18 Clark St and add 2 more meters

Performed voltage reduction per ISO New England request

Installed demand meter at 11 School St.

Overhead doors were installed at new shop. Electric and interior walls being installed

Attended bi-weekly meetings for Shop

Completed monthly meter reading, high/low checks, substation check, and dig safes

Water/Sewer -

Water leak at 54 Park St. turned off valve

Water leak at meter house #3 in West Highland. Fixed

Water leak at 103 LMW, broken saddle on 8" main, 25 customers affected had boil water for 24hrs tested good. Had assistance from the Town Crew and H2O

General Dept. -

Picked up snow all over Village sidewalks

Installed rear camera in S595 bobcat

Removed Christmas lights at the Green

Assisting Town with brush clearing on Sinclair Rd

We are in need of guidance from the board on the rose bushes at the Green. They are encroaching into the parking area making it hard to people getting out of cars. Also, the new tree guards on Main St. make plowing/pickup harder due to the space they take up. I think they need some discussions for a better way to guard them.

Plowed and Sanded as needed

Safety –

All trucks/sticks/hoses/grounds/jumpers/ and hot line equipment had annual tests performed. All passed

(Seth Jensen arrived at 6:34.)

Erik asked if we should have the town Beautification Committee move or trim the rose bushes at the green. Board members discussed either trimming them with hedge clippers or cutting them to the ground and letting them grow back. Erik suggested we could ask if the Beautification Committee wants to move them back further from the parking area. Board members could not remember if the Beautification Committee planted the roses. It was agreed that Nate and Erik can decide how to trim or cut the roses.

10. Fire Department Report and Any Action Items

Erik read the report submitted by Chief Arjay West:

Johnson Fire Department Report
Jan. 30 – Feb. 26, 2026

Calls:

JFD responded to 11 calls.

9 calls occurred during the daytime (6 am – 6 pm)

2 calls occurred during the nighttime (6 pm – 6 am)

The average duration was: 53 minutes

Nature:

Those calls were: 7 – automatic alarms, 3 - vehicle crashes, and 1 – odor investigation

Staff:

The average number of firefighters that responded was: 11

Total hours of service was: 69

Other:

Thank you to the staff of Northern Emergency Medical Services (NEMS) for presenting an outstanding Stop the Bleed class to our members and affiliates. The skills and knowledge gained is greatly appreciated by all.

We'd like to Thank Fred's Energy (Fred's Propane, Inc.) for a \$ 10,000 donation to purchase fire suppression equipment related to the recent improvements at their Bulk Tank Plant. This equipment not only expands our capabilities for a response at the Bulk Tank Plant, but is also available as response equipment to the citizens we serve. This new equipment will be carried on our Attack Engine, enhancing firefighting potential to our community. Delivery of these components is expected by early May.

11. Electric/General Report and Any Action Items (continued)

Darrell brought up Nate's question about the tree guards. Erik said we need to talk to the Tree Board. The design they brought to us included a raised curb around the tree well, which would have made it easier to plow around them. They didn't do that because they ran out of money.

12. Stantec to Present Alternatives Analysis for Sidewalk Scoping Study, Possible Action

Jacqui LeBlanc and Madison Carver of Stantec gave a presentation on the sidewalk scoping study. Jacqui showed the project location along Route 15 west of the village. Stantec has been looking at where sidewalk could be added between the existing sidewalk terminus on the south or north side of Route 15 and Wescom Road.

Madison said the first alternative considered was a sidewalk extension on the northern side of Route 15 connecting to the existing sidewalk on the east side of Gould Hill with a crosswalk across Gould Hill and sidewalk extending west to Wescom Road. Two crosswalks are proposed across Route 15 – one between the fire station and municipal building and one directly west of Wescom Road. Flashing beacons to warn drivers when pedestrians are present could be incorporated but are not required. Approach signage also would be added to warn drivers of the crosswalks. Blasting of ledge may be required in some areas which are more constrained. In most other areas it is proposed to have a 5 ft. sidewalk and a 5 ft. grass strip. The grass strip provides the ability to deal with stormwater, as well as snow storage. In the constrained areas there would still be a 5 ft. sidewalk, which would be curbed, but there would be no grass strip. Where there is curb, closed drainage would be added (catch basins and new stormwater piping.) New pipe and ditching would be needed behind any impacted drives. The sidewalk portion in front of the residence west of Gould Hill would have a retaining wall behind the sidewalk due to steep slopes. Gould Hill would be realigned to form a T intersection with Route 15 and create a curb bump-out on the eastern side of Gould Hill.

Ken asked, there are ledge issues on both sides of the road? Madison said yes.

The second alternative is on the southern side of Route 15. New sidewalk would connect to the existing sidewalk east of the bend in the river and extend to Wescom Road. For the majority of the length it would be a 5 ft. sidewalk with a curb. There is no new grass strip proposed, due to slope and river constraints. Additional closed drainage would be required for all portions with curbs. There would be 2 new crosswalks, one across Park Street and one across Center Street. All proposed crosswalks would have detectable warning surfaces. Blasting would be required where there is ledge. To accommodate sidewalk along the southern side, the roadway would be shifted north and restriped in some sections adjacent to the river where guardrail is present. The existing guardrail would be shifted away from the top of the river bank and back to its previous location before the recent paving project to make more room for sidewalk.

Madison showed a comparison of project costs for the two alternatives. The total estimated cost for Alternative 1 is \$1,365,000. The total estimated cost for Alternative 2 is \$1,235,000, plus an unknown cost for right of way impacts. No right of way impacts are anticipated for Alternative 1.

Erik asked if the Alternative 1 cost includes extension to Gould Hill. Madison said yes.

Will asked why we are putting sidewalk on the north side of Route 15 where there is already sidewalk on the south side. Alec Jones said VTrans will rarely put two crosswalks as close together as the crosswalk at the terminus of the sidewalk on the south and the new terminus at Wescom Road. To try to alleviate that, the plan was to extend sidewalk on the north to Gould Hill so the crosswalk could be further east.

Erik said he thought we were looking for a price for both the shortest option on the north side and the option that went to Gould Hill.

Will said it would be cheaper to put a crosswalk at the municipal building and not continue sidewalk on the north side east of there to Gould Hill. Then we would not have to spend money to fix Gould Hill or deal with the ledge on the north side across from the fire station. BJ said we also would not need to put in the retaining wall on the north side.

Erik asked if Stantec has talked to VTrans about the flashing crosswalk beacons. They don't like putting those in. Madison said each beacon costs \$15K. They were included in the cost estimate but the village could take a phased approach and not add them until later if it is determined they are needed. And they don't necessarily need to be at both crosswalks. Ken said VTrans would not put them on the state highway in the village where we wanted them. The places you see them are not state highways.

Darrell asked why the price difference between the two alternatives is so small, given the amount of work needed on the north side. Madison said it is because the southern alternative requires more drainage work (catch basins and stormwater piping), which increases cost.

Madison showed an evaluation matrix for the two alternatives. The total estimated project cost is higher for Alternative 1, but Alternative 2 has unknown right of way costs that are not included. The grass strip on the north side would increase pedestrian comfort. But with Alternative 1, there would be two crosswalks across Route 15, a higher volume roadway, which could make pedestrians feel uncomfortable. Alternative 2 may provide a lower sense of comfort due to lack of grass buffers and a portion that is between the guardrail and the river. There are no right of right of way impacts for the northern alternative. There would be an estimated 300 sq. ft. of impacts on the south. Alternative 1 has potential impact to one Archeological Sensitivity Area. Alternative 2 doesn't have any cultural resource impacts. Both alternatives require additional closed drainage and ditching, but Alternative 2 requires it along most of the length. Infrastructure needing maintenance for Alternative 1 would be 5 stormwater structures, 2900 ft. of sidewalk, and 488 ft. of curb. For Alternative 2 there would be 7 stormwater structures, 1,450 ft. of sidewalk and 1,187 ft. of curb.

Will said he would like to see a cost estimate for sidewalk on the north that goes only as far as the municipal building, not all the way to Gould Hill.

Jacqui said Stantec's report can be finalized after the board endorses a preferred alternative. That could be done tonight. Will said he is not ready. Darrell said he would like to see a cost estimated for a shorter sidewalk on the north. Ken said if we remove too much from the project we may be less likely to get grant funding.

Darrell asked, with the northern alternative, what is the plan for the existing pulloffs just before the ledge area? Madison said there would no longer be any pulloffs.

Ellis asked if Stantec has thought about the speed limit. Alec said the state sets the speed limit, but a narrower road can reduce speed.

Ken brought up the upcoming deadlines to apply for congressionally directed spending. He said the cost estimate in the report is just a ballpark figure and approving an alternative allows them to finalize the report so we can seek funding. Will said he won't move forward on a project scope he disagrees with. Ken said we are just moving forward to apply for a grant. We don't have to accept the grant and we don't have to move forward on the project. If we delay, we jeopardize our ability to apply for funding. Will said he doesn't mind coming in to a special meeting to vote.

Ken said we need a project scope to apply for a grant. BJ asked, if we apply using the scope as shown now, can we later choose to take out part of the sidewalk that is proposed? Ken asked, there will be a lot of engineering involved beyond what Stantec has already done, right? Jacqui and Madison said yes. Ken said this is guidance to help us do the paperwork to apply for a grant. Alec said this study would be used as a basis to build on. Ken said anything new they may come back with will be a lower number than what we see tonight.

Will asked what the next phase is. Alex said design engineering.

Darrell moved and Will seconded to direct Stantec to proceed with the draft report.

Jacqui said to finalize the report Stantec needs an endorsement of either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2. Ken asked, if the board approves an alternative tonight, can Stantec still provide an estimate for the northern alternative that goes only as far as the municipal building? Jacqui said yes. Erik asked how long it will take to get that. Jacqui said she expects it can be provided by the end of the week. Madison agreed. Alec said he thinks we can still meet deadlines for applying for congressionally directed spending if the board selects an alternative in the next few weeks. Erik suggested there could be a brief special meeting to do that.

The motion was passed with Will opposed.

Jacqui suggested the report could be seen as a proof of concept. It shows what alternatives on the north and south sides of the road could look like. Those concepts could continue to be refined. If there is a preference to look at Alternative 1 with removing some elements, the board could propose to move forward with that.

Will moved to choose Alternative 1 or 1b (a portion of the sidewalk proposed in Alternative 1) as the preferred alternative in the sidewalk scoping study, BJ seconded and the motion was passed.

Jacqui said Stantec will provide cost estimates as discussed and can document the preferred alternative endorsement as outlined in the motion.

13. Alternatives for the Utility Pole DR4810 FEMA Project, Possible Action

Andy Hoak of DuBois & King said DuBois & King has been working with Erik and Seth Jensen of LCPC on alternatives and design for repair of the utility pole behind Union Bank. They started in fall with a site visit and assessment. They evaluated various alternatives. Their plan shows the preferred alternative. They had discussed the possibility of relocating a couple of utility poles to position the pole in danger of being scoured away further to the north and west

where there is shallower bedrock and the pole could potentially be anchored into bedrock. But any relocation of the pole that is in danger would potentially require a mid-span pole. The concept of placing a mid-stream pole just didn't seem feasible. It would be susceptible to debris flow and damage. They considered softer approaches like revegetation or rocks in the stream to redirect flows to the opposite bank. They settled on a solution using rip rap. There is a stretch of about 175 ft. where the stream bank has sloughed. He believes the area is susceptible to erosion in part because of the saddle in the oxbow. There is a low spot where high flows overtop the midpoint.

Will asked what the elevation of the rip rap will be vs. where the current field lies. Andy said they are proposing extending rip rap stone to the top of the existing bank and no higher. They are also not proposing to push the rip rap any further into the stream than what currently exists.

Will said the river has significantly changed position over time. When that low point is cut off, the river will be heading directly toward the pole. He thinks that is where that is heading. Andy agreed. Will asked what the effect downstream will be when that happens.

Andy said they are proposing rip rap stone with a 2 ft diameter maximum size. The rip rap will be 3 feet thick with a transition layer between the sand and the rip rap. The guy wire on the north side of the pole is gone. The anchor location has been scoured away. They want to reestablish that position. A deep Sonitube running down 15 ft lower than the rip rap will be tied into the top of the bank with the rip rap. They are proposing a few large ballast stones at the base of the existing pole.

Will asked if Andy knows what level the top of this rip rap will be relative to USGS river levels. Andy described the top of bank levels and how they relate to 2 year and 10 year storm event levels. Will said it would be helpful to him to see how the elevations correlate to USGS levels in the Lamoille River. Andy said he can provide that conversion.

Andy said they looked at a few other alternatives such as placing topsoil infill and maybe some vegetation along the top of the bank, but given the information shared with him about past conditions the feeling was that that would probably be lost. Ken and Will agreed.

Will brought up the fact that some property in the area is not part of the Union Bank property. Ken pointed out that the village's access to the pole also needs to be taken into consideration.

Erik said the total estimated cost looks light to him. This is for FEMA funding, so we need it to not be light. Andy said the quantities are easy to calculate. He included a 20% contingency.

Andy said next DuBois & King needs to navigate the permit process once the board is happy with the concept. Erik said his next step is giving this plan to FEMA once they are working again. Will asked if getting funding is the next step. Ken suggested we may want to fund it ourselves and wait for reimbursement rather than waiting until the pole falls over.

Ken asked if this study analyzed downstream impacts. Andy said they are not proposing to extend rip rap further into the river than what is existing, so hydraulics should remain the same. We will not be constricting the river in any way from the current conditions. Will said that is

fine for the current path of the river. But if the path changes in the future, that rip rap will impact the flow of the river. Andy said flow velocities are pretty low in the section of river where this project will take place. Once the river turns the bend and becomes narrower, then velocities are higher.

Ellis said he thinks the contingency price should be increased. Ken asked if it easier to leave some FEMA money unused than to ask for more. Seth Jensen said it is better to ask once than to ask for more. Ken said it is better to ask for a higher amount to begin with. *(Andy left at 7:56.)*

14. *Congressionally Directed Spending Discussion*

Seth Jensen said 2 senators have put out annual requests for congressionally directed spending. The application form is simpler than most grant applications, but approval is unpredictable. It depends on what happens in Congress. Would the board like LCPC to work with Erik to put in a request for funding for the wastewater treatment plant to cover any gap in FEMA funding, or a request for any other project?

Ken asked how we can apply for the wastewater treatment facility when we don't know what we need. Seth said one difference with congressionally directed spending is that the project description can be more general. He doesn't know how competitive we would be if we used this approach, but it would be possible to do a general request using the budget from the engineers' report and the very meager offer FEMA has currently put out there and then adjust it if more money comes in.

Ken said he was thinking of applying for a smaller chunk of money for our backup power connection. The sewer treatment plant is more important, but how would we come up with an amount or a reason for it? Erik said we can use FEMA's unrealistically low offer and the engineers' project estimate cost and go for the difference. Seth said he could reach out to the senators' offices and see if that approach seems reasonable. If they feel there are too many unknowns we could have a second option in mind. The board agreed with that approach. The first choice would be funding for the WWTF and the second choice would be funding for a connection to GMP power.

15. *Discuss Village Annual Meeting Logistics*

Ken said we should reach out to Eric Osgood and make sure he can be present. Rosemary said she has already talked to him. The meeting will start at 7:30.

16. *Hometown Heroes Banners*

BJ said Plattsburgh, NY has sponsored banners for past or present members of the military. They are up for 2 years. Most banners have a 3-year warranty. A town in Ohio does something similar. Sponsors pay \$225 per banner.

Erik said we have space for 14 banners.

BJ described two different types of banners that are available. Erik said if we get banners the same size as the ones we already have we don't need brackets.

BJ said banners typically cost around \$100-125 with a 6-week turnaround. We have money in our budget that can cover the cost. Erik said it would be nice to get sponsors. Will said he has talked to people who are willing to donate.

BJ suggested adding a line item to the budget for these banners so people can give donations for them. Ken said we already have the parades and events line. BJ said someone might want their money to go specifically to banners. Erik said it is simple to add a line to the budget.

BJ said most places keep banners up for 2 years and then cycle them out. Will said what we do depends on how many applications we get.

BJ said the material the banners are made of will affect the price.

Board members agreed to move forward with this idea. Erik is working on eligibility criteria. Will said once we have a firm cost he will put it out that we are looking to raise money for the banners.

BH asked if the goal is to have them up by Memorial Day. Erik said he thinks we should have them up before the Fourth of July. Memorial Day is inappropriate for celebrating living veterans.

Will said he is okay with the chair and vice chair approving the wording on eligibility. As soon as that is done, he recommends letting people know about the banners. He would like to start trying to get donations. Ken said he is worried about getting many more applications than spots if we do a big announcement. That was why he suggested starting with some suggestions from the Historical Society of historical veterans we would honor with taxpayer money. Will said he would be more inclined to start with living veterans and work out a way to cycle out banners.

BJ will get pricing. Erik will work on eligibility and then Ken and BJ will look at what he came up with and approve it. Then we can move forward. We can put notices on the website and Front Porch Forum. Will suggested trying to see if the News & Citizen will do an article. Erik said he will contact them. We can publicize the banners at the annual village meeting.

17. Social Media Discussion

BJ asked, when we have situations like water line breaks, are we posting about them on social media? There was no notice about the recent water line break so he put it on Facebook. Erik said if a small area is affected, in his experience it is better not to put out a widespread notice. All the people affected will be notified personally. If there is widespread notice, no matter how clear you are about who is affected, people from unaffected areas will end up calling with questions.

BJ said he wants to avoid a situation where someone has a water break and they are wondering why their water is off and there is nothing on Facebook or the website and the trustees don't know either. That was why he put out the information.

Erik said if a small group is affected they get a knock on their doors. With the recent break, we kept the water on overnight and then went to every affected address the next day before shutting the water off.

Darrell said he doesn't see a problem with posting the information. Will and Ken said they see both sides. Will said he would leave it to Erik's discretion. He trusts his judgment.

BJ said with the recent break he got a call and he didn't know what was going on, so he called to find out. People will call board members. Will asked if Erik can email to let board members know about situations like this. Erik said he can't send a group email to all board members. Ken said it is not the trustees' job to know all that information. If someone calls at night about an issue, a board member can say they don't know and will check tomorrow. He feels we should stick with Erik's judgment.

BJ said he added pictures of the garage to the website. Once it is done, he would like permission to post on social media with pictures and information. Ken said as long as he coordinates with Erik he doesn't think anyone will have a problem with that.

18. Employee Budget Discussion

Erik said he had heard a rumor that the selectboard and trustee board are planning a joint meeting. Ken said no one from the selectboard has contacted him about that. He thinks the boards will have a joint meeting after the village annual meeting.

Ken said we want the ad out for the village treasurer. BJ asked that employee will be 100% ours, right? Erik said yes. BJ asked, the other employee will be 90% village? Erik said that is what he budgeted for. BJ asked, we also budgeted for paying the town clerk to act as village clerk? Ken said yes.

19. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 8:34.

Minutes submitted by Donna Griffiths